Detection of Second Canals in Mesial Root of Maxillary First Molars Using Different Evaluation Methods (In Vitro Study)
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ABSTRACT  
Aim: The purpose of this study was to determine the number of canals treated in the mesio-buccal roots of the maxillary first molars in Iraqi population.

Materials and Methods: The examiners verified the number of canals in the teeth using different methods including: magnifying lens, bubble test and radio graphical method.

Results: In total, 200 maxillary first molars of which 148 teeth (74%) met the criterion of having two canals detected and treated in the mesio-buccal root of the maxillary first molars.

Discussion and conclusion: The fact that almost more than half the mesio-buccal roots of the maxillary first molars bear two canals is enough reason to assume always that two canals exist until careful examination proves otherwise. According to this study there was no difference in the prevalence of 2nd MB canal in maxillary 1st molar between males and females.
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INTRODUCTION  
The aim of endodontic treatment is the chemomechanical cleansing of the root canal and its hermetic obturation with an inert material (1). It is generally accepted that the major cause of the failure of root canal therapy is the clinician’s inability to recognize and adequately treat all the canals of the root canal system(1-3). A clear understanding of human root canal anatomy is a prerequisite for performing conventional endodontic procedures. The anatomic complexities of the root canal have been highlighted in the literature, and the need for clinicians to understand probable aberrations has been emphasized (4, 5).

The morphology of canal systems in maxillary molars fig.1 has been evaluated in many studies. In particular, the complexity of the mesiobuccal (MB) roots of the first and second maxillary molars, which was first noted by Hess and Zurcher (6), later became the focus of more detailed and repeated investigations after the publication of a study by Weine et al. (2).

Fig.1 Morphology of maxillary 1st molar

These studies demonstrated that most maxillary first molars and a considerable percent of second molars have an additional MB root canal (MB2), which is also referred to as a mesiolingual canal or a mesiopalatal canal (7). Since these studies, several more studies of the root canal anatomy of maxillary...
Molars have shown that the frequency of MB2s ranges from 10% to 95% \(^{(1, 3-5, 8, 9)}\).

The prevalence of MB2s varies with the method used in the study i.e., the sectioning of extracted teeth, the injection of dye, the study of radiographs, the surgical techniques used, the use of light microscopy or scanning microscopy, microcomputed tomography, and whether the clinical study is retrospective or prospective \(^{(4,10,8)}\).

A study by Pomeranz and Fishelberg \(^{(3)}\) revealed a large discrepancy between clinical and laboratory results with regard to the incidence of MB2s. Although in vitro studies have also demonstrated that the incidence of MB2s is high, there is no consensus on the number of MB2s that are amenable to endodontic treatment \(^{(12, 16)}\).

To categorize the root canal system in each root, Weine described four different configurations: type I (a single canal from the pulp chamber to the apex), type II (2 separate canals that leave the chamber but merge short of the apex to form a single canal), type III (2 separate canals that leave the chamber and exit the root in separate foramina), and type IV (1 canal that leaves the chamber but divides short of the apex into 2 separate and distinct canals with separate foramina) \(^{(2)}\).

Many authors have discussed different methods of locating extra canals like:
1. Additional off-angle radiographs (at least three radiographs at varying horizontal angles).
2. Use of computed tomography.
3. Use of magnification (loupes and dental operating microscopes).
4. Examining dentinal map minutely and using DG16 to explore the floor of the pulp chamber.
5. Looking for hemorrhagic spots (indicate the presence of extra canals).
6. Performing Champaign bubble test by flooding the pulp chamber with warm solution of 5% sodium hypochlorite, to visualize bubbles emanating from organic tissue indicating presence of canals.
7. Staining the pulp chamber with dye (e.g., 1% methylene blue).
8. Use of ultrasonic tips, special round burs, and thin tapering finishing burs to remove a small amount of tooth structure or calcification and through the line angles of the pulp chamber will help.
9. Modifying the conventional outline form to include the extra canals.
10. Ensuring adequate straight-line access to improve visibility \(^{(8, 9, 10)}\).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A total of 200 extracted permanent maxillary first molar teeth of Iraqi patients (100 male and 100 female) were collected from different health centers and private clinics.

After extraction, all teeth were sectioned at coronal orifice using a diamond disc mounted on a straight hand-piece and under water coolant and then stored in 0.1% thymol solution at room temperature. The anatomic dark lines in the floor of the pulp chamber were examined with a DG16 endodontic explorer (Hu Freiday, Chicago, IL, USA) to identify the root canal orifice.

After locating the orifices, the teeth were placed in 0.5% sodium hypochlorite solution for 48 hours to dissolve debris and pulp remnants. All the specimens were then thoroughly washed in running water for 4 hours to clean the root canals of any debris.

Patency of each canal was established by passing a k-type file through the apical foramen and canal orifices, for calcified canal initial negotiation was done using small stainless steel hand files, they are stiffer than equivalent size Nickel Titanium and can be
pushed through obstacles more easily. At first starting using loupes and magnifying glasses (x2.0 to x6.0) up to the operating microscope (x4.0 to x30.0) that aid in detection of number of root canals in mesiobuccal root, A Champaign bubble test was then carried out by flooding the pulp chamber with warm solution of 5% sodium hypochlorite, one could visualize bubbles emanating from organic tissue indicating presence of canals. We finally verified the number of canals radiographically using multiple straight and angled radiographs allows visualization of the presence of extra canals.

RESULTS

We collected a total of 200 maxillary first molars over 6 month’s period. The results of the study showed that using magnifying glasses 42% of 2nd MB canals could be detected while 74% of canals could be detected using bubble tests and 59% of canals detected using radiographs (Table 1).

According to the results of the present study, there was no difference in the prevalence of 2nd MB canal in maxillary 1st molar between male and females.

Table 1 Percentage and number of root canals and apical foramina in the MB root of maxillary first molar using different method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>No. of teeth</th>
<th>Type I%</th>
<th>Type II%</th>
<th>Type III%</th>
<th>Calcified canal%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>magnifying glasses</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bubble test</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MB, mesio-buccal.

Fig. 3 Bar chart for percentage and number of root canals and apical foramina in the MB root of maxillary first molar using different methods.

Table 2 Association of gender with 2nd MB canal in maxillary 1st molar teeth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>No. of teeth</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1=presence of 2nd MB  0=absence of 2nd MB

DISCUSSION

The goal of this study was to report the percentage of the MB roots of maxillary first molars that contained at least two root canals. The results of this study showed a high prevalence of the MB2 canal in the MB root of maxillary first molars in Iraqi population.

This finding is in agreement with previous studies (11, 12, 16). An examination of the floor of the pulp chamber offers clues to the type of canal configuration present. When there is only one canal, it is usually located rather easily in the center of the access preparation. If only one orifice is found and it is not in the center of the tooth, it is probable that another canal is present and the operator should search for it on the opposite side (13).
It is generally accepted that the maxillary first molar has three canals with an MB2 canal seen in 56.8%-80.9% of the cases similar findings were recorded in this study. The closer the orifices are to each other, the greater are the chances that the two canals join at some point within the body of the root \(^{(14, 15)}\). In this study magnifying lenses were used during the exploration of canal orifices, since magnification has been found to increase the detection rate of MB2 canals from 17.2% with the naked eye, to 62.5% with loupes and 71.1% using the surgical operating microscope \(^{(17)}\).

The use of radiograph to study the canal morphology might appear to have certain limitations, since it’s a two dimensional image of a three dimensional object. However Weine\(^{(20)}\) suggests that for the maxillary first molar, angulation from distal to mesial provides a profile type of view of the mesiobuccal root and greater possibility of detection of MB2 canal. In the present study more than 15% of the teeth presented with different amount of pulp chamber and/or calcified canals.

For easier access to canal openings a bubble test with sodium hypochlorite in the pulp chamber and chelating agents (EDTA) was occasionally used for removing the smear layer and softening calcifications. Ibarrola et al. \(^{(19)}\) suggested the use of chelating agents and ultrasonic instrumentation to remove debris and anatomical irregularities that interfere with negotiation of the MB and ML canals \(^{(18)}\).

In this study different methods used for detection of 2nd canal in maxillary 1st molar but the bubble test showed the best results because it was found that When NaOCl is flooded into the access cavity, it dissociates into Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) ions and liberates free oxygen. A positive bubble reaction signifies that NaOCl is reacting with residual tissue within the instrumented or the missed canal or with the residual chelator present within the prepared canal.

There was no difference in the prevalence of 2nd MB canal in maxillary 1st molar between male and females in the present study and this was in agreement with Saad Al-Nazhan \(^{(12)}\). CONCLUSION

A thorough knowledge of the root canal anatomy, careful interpretation of the radiographs, proper modification of the conventional access cavity were the essentials for recognition and adequate treatment of these anatomical variations. Every tooth has to be treated as an exception and the pulp chamber explored for an additional canal.
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